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## Introduction

## VARIATION

- The central issue in

Automatic Speech Recognition


## Many Types of Variation

- channel/microphone type
- environmental noise
- speaking style
- vocal anatomy
- gender
- accent
- health
- etc.


## Focus Today

"You say pot[ey]to, I say pot[a]to..."

- How can we model variation in pronunciation?


## Pronunciation Variation

- A careful transcription of conversational speech by trained linguists has revealed...


## 80 Ways To Say "and"



From "SPEAKING IN SHORTHAND - A SYLLABLE-CENTRIC PERSPECTIVE FOR UNDERSTANDING PRONUNCIATION VARIATION" by Steve Greenberg

## Outline

- Phonetic Modeling
- Sub-Word models
- Phones (mono-, bi-, di- and triphones)
- Syllables
- Data-driven units
- Cross-word modeling
- Whole-word models
- Lexicons (Dictionaries) for ASR


## Phonetic Modeling

## Phonetic Modeling

- How do we select the basic units for recognition?
- Units should be accurate
- Units should be trainable
- Units should be generalizable
- We often have to balance these against each other.


## Sub-Word Models

## Sub-Word Models

- Phones
- Context Independent
- Context Dependent
© Syllables
- Data-driven units
- Cross-word modeling


## Phones

## Phones

- Note: "phones" != "phonemes" (see G\&M pg. 310)
- E.g.:

| Phoneme | Phone |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ascii-65 | AAAAA |

## "Flavors" of Phones

- Context Independent:
- Monophones

- Context Dependent:
- Biphones
- Diphones
- Triphones



## Context Independent Phones

## Context Independent "Monophones" "cat" $=\left[\begin{array}{lll}k & a e ~ t\end{array}\right]$

- Easy to train:
- only about 40 monophones for English
- The basis of other sub-word units
- Easy to add new pronunciations to lexicon


## Typical English Phone Set

| Phone | Example | Phone | Example | Phone | Example |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iy | FEEL | ih | FILL | ae | GAS |
| aa | FATHER | ah | BUD | ao | CAUGHT |
| ay | BITE | $a x$ | COMPLY | ey | DAY |
| eh | TEN | er | TURN | OW | TONE |
| aw | How | oy | COIN | uh | BOOK |
| uw | TOOL | $b$ | BIG | $p$ | PIG |
| d | DIG | $\dagger$ | SAI | g | GUT |
| k | CUT | $f$ | FORK | V | VAT |
| S | SIT | Z | ZAP | th | THIN |
| dh | THEN | sh | SHE | zh | GENRE |
| 1 | LID | $r$ | RED | Y | $\underline{Y} A C H T$ |
| W | WITH | hh | HELP | m | MAT |
| $n$ | NO | ng | SING | ch | CHIN |
| jh | EDGE |  |  |  |  |

Adapted from "Spoken Language Processing" by Xuedong Huang, et. al.

## Monophones

## Major Drawback

- Not very powerful for modeling variation:
- Example: "key" vs "coo"


## Context Dependent Phones

## Biphones

- Taking into account the context (what sounds are to the right or left) in which the phone occurs.
- Left biphone of [ae] in "cat": K_ae
- Right biphone of [ae] in "cat": ae_t

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "key" = k_iy iy_\# } \\
& \text { "coo" }=\text { k_uw uw_\# }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Biphones

- More difficult to train than monophones:
- Roughly $\left(40^{\wedge} 2+40^{\wedge} 2\right)$ biphones for English
- If not enough training for a biphone model, can "backoff" to monophone


## Triphones

- Consider the sounds to the left AND right - Good modeling of variation
- Most widely used in ASR systems

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "key" }=\text { \#_k_iy k_iy_\# } \\
& \text { "coo" }=\text { \#_-_uw k_uw_\# }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Triphones

- Can be difficult to train:
- there are LOTS of possible triphones (roughly 40^3)
- Not all occur
- If not enough data to train a triphone, typically back-off to left or right biphone


## Triphones

- Don't always capture variation: "tha rock" vs. "thea rical"

$a e_{-} \quad r$
- Sometimes helps to cluster similar triphones


## Diphones

- Modeling the transitions between phones
- Extend from middle of one phone to the middle of the next

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "key" }=\text { \#_k k_iy iy_\# } \\
& \text { "coo" }=\text { \#_k k_uw uw_\# }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Syllables

## Syllables


"Strengths"

## Syllables

- Good modeling of variation
- Somewhere between triphones and wholeword models
- Can be difficult to train (like triphones)
- Practical experiments have not shown improvements over triphone-based systems.


## Data-driven Sub-Word Units

## Data-driven Sub-Word

## Units

- Basic Idea:
- More accurate modeling of acoustic variation
- Cluster data into homogeneous "groups" - sounds with similar acoustics should group together
- Use these automatically-derived units instead of linguistically-based sub-word units


# Data-driven Sub-Word Units 

- Difficulties:
- Can have problems with training, depending on number of units
- Real problem: generalizability
- How do we add words to the system when we don't know what the units "mean"
- Create a mapping from phones?


## Cross-word Modeling

## Cross-word Modeling

- Co-articulation spans word boundaries:
- "Did you eat yet?" -> jeatyet
- "could you" -> couldja
- "I don't know" -> idunno
- We can achieve better modeling by looking across word boundaries
- More difficult to implement- what would dictionary look like?
- Usually use lattices when doing cross-word modeling


## Whole-word Models

## Whole-word Models

- In some sense, the most "natural" unit
- Good modeling of coarticulation within the word
- If context dependent, good modeling across words
- Good when vocabulary is small e.g. digits:
- 10 words
- Context dependent: $10 \times 10 \times 10=1000$ models
- Not a huge problem for training


## Whole-word Models

- Problems:
- difficult to train: needs lots of examples of *every* word
- not generalizable: adding new words requires more data collection


## Lexicons

## Lexicons for ASR

- Contains:
- words
- pronunciations
- optionally:
cat: $k$ ae t key: k ey coo: k uw the: 0.6 dh iy 0.4 dh ax
- alternate pronunciations - pronunciation probabilities
- No definitions


## Lexicon Generation

- Where do lexical entries come from?
- Hand labeling
- Rule generated
- Not too bad for English, but can be a big expense when building a recognizer for a new language
- For a small task, may want to consider whole-word models to bypass lexicon gen

